

April 20, 2021

Re: Case number 20-7 (Cerebral Development)

Case number 212-9 (Connections Peer Counseling)

Dear Chairman Strobel and Planning Board Members,

As abutters to Cerebral Development, we are not opposed to the most recent proposed development, as we were not opposed to the previous plan.

However, then and now, we have grave concerns about the proposed entrance onto and from Rt. 4. The proposed entrance/exit would require cars turning left to go east and those traveling from the west to cross over lanes where people already are exceeding the speed limit to "make the traffic light " or who use the existing breakdown lane for early right hand turns onto Rt.202. This will increase the danger for people traveling an already over -crowded RT. 4.

We believe a safer alternative would be to have access and egress from Rt. 202 at the least or at the least have a similar access and exit as Northeast Credit Union.

Case 21-9

Although not abutters to the property we are long term residents of the area. Again, as with Cerebral Development's proposal, we have reservations about adding any additional entrance/egress to Rt. 4. Particularly at that location where people will potentially be crossing several lanes of traffic and competing with these making turns for Hannafords and Irving. Safe access already exists, with parking, via Rt. 202 and Church St. and should remain as it is. Church St's intersection with Rt. 4 was removed by the state as being too dangerous so it would seem adding a driveway and even closer to the intersection would present the same dangers.

Sincerely,  
Dan and Kate McNally